## 

1	ANTHONY J. BRASS, SBN: 173302 3223 Webster Street San Francisco, CA 94123 Telephone: (415) 922-5462		
2			
3	Counsel for Defendant		
4	Monleto Lamont Holly		
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) No. CR 08-00469-1SBA		
10	Plaintiff, ) STIPULATION AND PROPOSEDY vs. ORDER REGARDING SENTENCE		
11	Monleto Lamont Holly,  ORDUCTION UNDER U.S.S.G. §  1B1.10(b)(1) (AS AMENDED BY 750		
12	) PARTS A & C)		
13	Defendant. ) )		
14			
15	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the parties acting		
16	through their respective counsel, that:		
17			
18	1. The defendant is making an unopposed motion for a modification of his sentence		
19	pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).		
20			
21	2. Defendant's original guideline calculation was as follows:		
22	Total Offense Level: 25		
23	Criminal History Category: IV		
24	Guideline Range: 84 to 105 Months		
25	Mandatory Minimum: 60 months		
26			

## 

1	3.	Defendant was sentenced to 84 months imprisonment on December 16, 2008.
2	4.	According to the Bureau of Prisons, defendant's current projected release date is March
3		10, 2014.
4	5.	Effective November, 1, 2011, defendant is eligible for a modification of his sentence
5		pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c), USSG § 1B1.10(b)(1), and Amendment 750, Parts A
6		and C, of the United States Sentencing Guidelines Manual.
7	6.	Defendant's revised guideline calculation is as follows:
8		Total Offense Level: 23
9		Criminal History Category: IV
10		Guideline Range: 70 to 87 months
11		
12	7.	The parties have no reason to dispute the Reduction of Sentence Report submitted to the
13		Court by the probation office.
14		
15	8.	Based upon the foregoing, the parties hereby stipulate that the Court may enter an order
16		reducing defendant's sentence to 70 months.
17		
18	9.	The parties further stipulate that all other aspects of the original judgment order including
19		the length of term of supervised release, all conditions of supervision, fines, restitution,
20		and special assessment remain as previously imposed.
21		
22	10.	Defendant stipulates that he waives and does not request a hearing in this matter pursuant
23		to Fed. R. Crim. P. 43, 18 U.S.C § 3582(c)(2); United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220
24		(2005) and Kimbrough v. United States, 128 S.Ct. 558 (2007).
25		
26		

## 1 11. Defendant waives his right to appeal the district court's sentence. 2 12. Accordingly, the parties agree that an amended judgment may be entered by the Court in 3 accordance with this stipulation pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c) and USSG § 4 1B1.10(b)(1), Amendment 750, Parts A and C, of the Sentencing Commission Guidelines 5 Manual. The parties agree that a proposed amended judgment will be submitted to the 6 Court by the probation office, along with the Sentencing Reduction Investigation Report. 7 IT IS SO STIPULATED: 8 /s/9 Date: March 27, 2012 ANTHONY J. BRASS 10 Attorney for Defendant 11 /s/Date: March 27, 2012 BRIAN J. STRETCH 12 Assistant United States Attorney 13 14 KROROSED ORDER 15 Upon consideration of the stipulation submitted by the parties, it is hereby ORDERED 16 that the defendant's sentence is reduced to 70 months; and it is further ORDERED that all 17 original conditions of supervision, fines, restitution, and special assessment remain as previously 18 imposed. 19 20 andre B. Ormskag Date: 2/5/13 21 United States District Judge 22 23 24 25 26 3 Stipulation Reduction Sentence

Caase4008-cr-0004659-558A Document25 Filed002003/1123 Page33583